1	JUDGE STIRMER: What
2	MR. DUNNE: support the report's of
-3	this Government
4	JUDGE STIRMER: Why can't
5	MR. DUNNE: official.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: this be an admissible
7	document if it's a you don't question the authenti-
8	city of this, do you?
9	MR. SHOOK: No, sir. We do not.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: Then what could be the a
11	valid objection to keeping this out
12	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor
13	JUDGE STIRMER: since this relates to the
14	matters directly in issue in this proceeding?
15	MR. SHOOK: Well, what, what we don't have
16	here is anything from Mr. Moffit or Mrs. Raines to the
17	effect that this is something that they would stand by
18	in terms of now that they've had a chance to look at
19	this and reflect on it a little more, that there may be
20	things in here that they back away from. I mean, this
21	report is being offered for the truth of all the mat-
22	ters asserted therein. And because we do not have a
23	sponsoring witness, either in the person of Mr. Moffit
24	or Mrs. Raines, that's where our hearsay objection
25	comes in.

JUDGE STIRMER: Well, would you want to cross-examine these witnesses on the, on the contents of these reports, the Poole report and the Moffit and Raines report?

MR. SHOOK: In terms of cross-examination,
Your Honor, it would appear that we might be forced to
do that if, if the report were admitted in its entirety, but we believe that Mr. Ramage would be competent
to, you know, testify about not only his report but the
apparent findings and conclusions of the other two
reports. Again, Your Honor, we don't -- we believe,
though, that Mr. Ramage's report is the most detailed,
most complete report, and we also have Mr. Ramage, who
is going to come and testify about it and who has
verified, you know, by affidavit that he believes
everything in his report to be true and accurate. We
don't have that with the other two reports.

JUDGE STIRMER: The problem I have with this, Mr. Dunne, is, is I have no one who's prepared to offer this under oath, who is prepared to look at it and tell me whether there's any mistakes or errors or omissions in these reports. Now, I can't quarrel with the fact that these were reports that were made at the time they were made. But whether or not the content of that report is true and accurate, I have no way of knowing.

MR. DUNNE: Your Honor --1 2 JUDGE STIRMER: If there are some aspects to 3 it that aren't true and accurate, I have no way of knowing. And that's my problem with this one, as well 4 5 as the Poole report. MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, these are, these are 6 7 documents prepared by Federal employees in the course 8 of their business, you know, submitted to the Agency. 9 They are business records. JUDGE STIRMER: I understand what --10 11 MR. DUNNE: We have to assume -- I mean, I assume that the FCC is, you know, accurate or trying to 12 be accurate in, in preparing these reports. 13 I don't believe that anyone here knowingly made a misrepresen-14 15 Now, I don't want to cross-examine these I just want to put in the evidence that they 16 people. 17 had and put it in their own, you know, their own rec-18 ord. 19 JUDGE STIRMER: Well, what conclusions do you 20 want me to draw from that? 21 MR. DUNNE: Well, Your Honor, the certain -you asked earlier about IF beat interference and inter-22 23 mittent -- and interference from the, the highway patrol. Okay. On page 2, I believe, or was it 3, of 24 25 this particular exhibit, in the fourth paragraph they

talk about IF intermittent interference with intermediate frequency would explain intermittent interference 2 3 with this. It supports the testimony of Mr. Lampe. Now, it also supports that most of the interference 4 5 observed was on Channel 6 and not other channels, and that some of the other interference that was complained 7 of was not blanket -- necessarily blanketing interference, but other sorts of interference or poor signal 8 9 I mean, these are the Commission's own, you 10 know, people going out and doing this, Your Honor. 11 JUDGE STIRMER: I understand that. And, and 12 I'm inclined to receive both of these reports for the 13 purpose of showing what the reports contained. 14 whether or not the information contained therein is 15 entirely accurate, I have no way of knowing. 16 going to have a witness here to testify to these mat-17 ters. 18 Now, let me ask the Bureau whether or not 19 some of the individuals who were interviewed are the 20 same that were -- that was interviewed by the other 21 Commission engineer who is going to testify? 22 MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I believe both Hillis 23 and Smith -- and we have Jean Hillis and Doris Smith 24 who would have met both Mr. Poole and Mr. Moffit and 25 Mrs. Raines. And I don't believe that there is --

1	there are any other, you know, common individuals.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: So, so just what did you
3	say?
4	MR. SHOOK: Okay.
5	JUDGE STIRMER: Two, two people?
6	MR. SHOOK: At least two people, Doris Smith
7	and Jean Hillis. Oh. Now, Mrs. William Gray is Joanne
8	Gray, who is one of our witnesses. She appears as a
9	person who was contacted by Mr. Moffit and Mrs. Raines.
10	That is the second report. She was not contacted, I
11	believe, by Mr. Poole. And I would also say that Kathy
12	Kearbey, who appears as a person who was contacted by
13	Mr. Moffit and Mrs. Raines, was contacted by Mr. Ramage
14	and appears in the Ramage report, but she is not one of
15	our, one of our witnesses. Those, those would be the
16	individuals that we're aware of, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. I'm going to
18	reserve ruling on these. I want to I'll read these
19	reports over the, the noon hour and then I'll, I'll
20	rule on the admissibility of them. It would seem,
21	though, that
22	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor?
23	JUDGE STIRMER: Yes.
24	MR. DUNNE: If I might make a point. If it
25	as far as it goes, if it would make it, you know,

1	more agreeable to the, the Bureau itself, we could
2	agree to strike those portions of the second report
3	that don't deal with people who were covered in the
4	Ramage report. Does that make any difference?
5	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, at this point we, we
6	have our basic objection for the problem of sponsor-
7	ship.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, you, you, you say it's
9	sponsorship
10	MR. SHOOK: Well, Your Honor
11	JUDGE STIRMER: but then
12	MR. SHOOK: by that I mean
13	JUDGE STIRMER: on the other hand, you're
14	not, you're not quarrelling with the authenticity of
15	this report.
16	MR. SHOOK: No, sir. We are not.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: that was a report rendered
18	in the ordinary course of business reflecting upon
19	matters that are issue at issue in this proceeding.
20	MR. SHOOK: No, sir. We are not, we are
21	not
22	JUDGE STIRMER: Then, then on what basis do
23	you argue legally that it should be excluded?
24	MR. SHOOK: It's the, it's the point, Your
25	Honor, that there will be no witness who can testify

1	that everything in this report is as it should be and
2	that there's nothing in here that should be changed,
3	that, you know, we found nothing later or there's
4	nothing upon reflection which requires a change. We
5	have no such person.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, that's why, if I do
7	receive it, I'm just going to receive it as being a
8	report. But I, I don't think anybody can attest to the
9	authenticity and the accuracy of every word in this
10	report because no one is here to tell me that it is
11	entirely accurate in all respects. So, if I do receive
12	it, I'll just receive it as being a report that was
13	prepared by, by these individuals and submitted, and
14	the report said the following things, without necessar-
15	ily anybody here to tell me that that's true and accu-
16	rate. But, again, I want to read it before I rule.
17	MR. DUNNE: Fine, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
19	MR. DUNNE: So, Your Honor, you're reserving
20	ruling on Exhibit
21	JUDGE STIRMER: Yeah. I'll rule on
22	MR. DUNNE: in 5 and 6?
23	JUDGE STIRMER: both
24	MR. DUNNE: Okay.
25	JUDGE STIRMER: the Poole report and the

1	Moffit and Raines report when I get back from lunch.
2	MR. DUNNE: Okay.
3	JUDGE STIRMER: I'm going to read them both
4	before I go to during the lunch recess.
5	MR. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: And then I'll be in a better
7	position to, to rule on it.
8	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, the last exhibit that
9	KOKS would offer in evidence at this time is Exhibit
10	No. 7. It's entitled, "Petitions in Support of KOKS."
11	(Whereupon, the document
12	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
13	No. 7 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	MR. DUNNE: And I admit on the record that it
16	was not exchanged on the exhibit exchange date.
17	Federal Express did not come through as it was supposed
18	to. It was exchanged a day late.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: Are there any objections?
20	MR. SHOOK: Well, Your Honor, we have no
21	objection to when we received it, but we do object on
22	the grounds of relevance. We don't believe that any of
23	the petitions or the information contained therein is
24	relevant evidence with respect to any of the issues
25	designated in this case.

1	JUDGE STIRMER: What, what is the purpose of
2	this offer, Mr. Dunne?
3	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, there, there is a
4	great deal of evidence to the number of, of complaints
5	that the, that the station has received. There is a
6	reference in paragraph 2, or footnote 2, I believe, of
7	the hearing designation order to the fact that the
8	Commission has received a certain number of petitions
9	talking about the station and, and whatever. I think
10	it's it's worthwhile putting into evidence that
11	there are a number of people who support the station
12	and like the program service, and that's the only
13	purpose for submitting these petitions.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, I'm not going to re-
15	ceive this, Mr. Dunne. It's I'm going to reject it,
16	but it will go forward as your rejected Exhibit 7.
17	(Whereupon, the document
18	marked for identification as
19	KOKS Exhibit No. 7 was
20	rejected.)
21	JUDGE STIRMER: I don't know anything about
22	how this was prepared. I don't know whether the signa-
23	tures on here are authentic or not. I don't know
24	MR. DUNNE: Fine, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. That completes

1	the presentation of your direct case?
2	MR. DUNNE: Yes, sir, it does.
3	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Now, how many of
4	these witnesses do you want for cross-examination, Mr.
5	Shook?
6	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, we want Mr. Lampe,
7	Don Stewart, and Nina Stewart.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: You want those three witnes-
9	ses?
10	MR. SHOOK: Yes, sir.
11	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay. Do you have any objec-
12	tion to producing those three?
13	MR. DUNNE: None whatsoever, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
15	MR. DUNNE: Is there any particular order you
16	want them produced?
17	MR. SHOOK: It's up to you.
18	MR. DUNNE: Okay.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay. Will this be an appro-
20	priate place to break for lunch or do you want to go on
21	a little further?
22	MR. DUNNE: Why don't we on, Your
23	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay.
24	MR. DUNNE: a little bit further, Your
25	Honor.

1	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay. That's fine. All
2	right. Is the Bureau prepared to identify and offer
3	its exhibits at this time?
4	MR. DUNNE: I thought they were going to be
5	offered as part of yours? That's, that's only three.
6	MS. LADEN: All right, Your Honor. Can we
7	just have a minute?
8	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, could we have one
9	minute, please?
10	JUDGE STIRMER: Certainly. Off the record.
11	(Off the record.)
12	(On the record.)
13	MR. DUNNE: May we go on the record for just
14	a moment?
15	JUDGE STIRMER: On the record.
16	MR. DUNNE: Yes, sir.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: Yes, we're on the record.
18	MR. DUNNE: Okay. Your Honor, after a con-
19	sultation with Mass Media's counsel, we discovered that
20	we have a misunderstanding concerning the exchange of
21	certain documents. There are three submissions to the
22	Commission that were made by KOKS that we believe we
23	jointly believe, I think I speak for the Mass Media
24	Bureau, should be in the record as well as the others
25	that are submitted as part of their case, and we had a

1	misunderstanding about who was going to submit them and
2	under what circumstances. I think under this the
3	best, easiest way to deal with it would be to, to offer
4	them in, in evidence as KOKS Exhibits 8, 9, and 10. I
5	will identify them here and I will show them to you. I
6	don't have copies here, unfortunately.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
8	MR. DUNNE: They're basically, Exhibit No. 8
9	would be a letter, in describing it for the record,
10	dated November 3, 1988, filed with the Commission on
11	KOKS's behalf and signed by me.
12	JUDGE STIRMER: It's a letter dated November
13	3
14	MR. DUNNE: 3, 19
15	JUDGE STIRMER: '88?
16	MR. DUNNE: 1988.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: How many pages, please?
18	MR. DUNNE: It's two pages, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
20	(Whereupon, the document
21	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
22	No. 8 was marked for
23	identification.)
24	MR. DUNNE: Exhibit No. 9 would be a letter
25	filed with the Commission dated December 27, 1988, of

1	two pages, again signed by me as counsel for KOKS.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: That's also to the FCC?
3	MR. DUNNE: Yes, sir.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
5	(Whereupon, the document
6	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
7	No. 9 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	MR. DUNNE: The third, Exhibit No. 10, would
10	be a letter dated December 29, 1988, addressed to Karen
11	Raines of, of the FCC, of two pages, signed by me on
12	behalf of KOKS.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
14	(Whereupon, the document
15	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
16	No. 10 was marked for
17	identification.)
18	MR. DUNNE: As I said, Your Honor, due to the
19	misunderstanding, I don't have, I don't have bound
20	copies
21	JUDGE STIRMER: I
22	MR. DUNNE: with me.
23	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
24	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, we, we have no objec-
25	tion to those exhibits.

1	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay. The letter dated
2	November 3, '88, which has been identified as KOKS
3	Exhibit 8 will be received. The letter dated 12/27/88
4	of two pages which has been identified as KOKS Exhibit
5	9 will be received. And the letter dated 12/29/88
6	which is also two pages in length and identified as
7	KOKS Exhibit 10 shall be received.
8	(Whereupon, the documents
9	marked for identification as
10	KOKS Exhibit Nos. 8, 9, and 10
11	were received into evidence.)
12	MR. DUNNE: And, Your Honor, for the record,
13	I will make sure that we have bound copies with the
14	exhibits that I provide the reporter and yourself this
15	afternoon.
16	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
17	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I'm going to hand the
18	court reporter an original and one copy of our Mass
19	Media Bureau Exhibits. They number 1 through 28, and
20	I'll go through each of them to identify them.
21	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Off the record a
22	moment.
23	(Off the record.)
24	(On the record.)
25	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Back on the

1	record.
2	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, Mass Media Bureau
3	Exhibit No. 1 is the testimony of Ronald D. Ramage. It
4	consists of 74 pages and we would offer this into
5	evidence.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. First, Mr. Shook,
7	let's identify all of your exhibits and then I'll
8	entertain objections to them
9	MR. SHOOK: Okay.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: as you offer them.
11	MR. SHOOK: Exhibit No. 1 is the testimony of
12	Ronald D. Ramage. As I said, it consists of 74 pages.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. That, that'll be
14	marked for identification as Mass Media Bureau Exhibit
15	No. 1.
16	(Whereupon, the document
17	referred to as Mass Media
18	Bureau Exhibit No. 1 was
19	marked for identification.)
20	MR. SHOOK: Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No. 2
21	is the testimony of Doris L. Smith, and it consists of
22	36 pages.
23	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. That'll be marked
24	as Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No. 2.
25	(Whereupon, the document

1	referred to as Mass Media
2	Bureau Exhibit No. 2 was
3	marked for identification.)
4	MR. SHOOK: Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No. 3
5	is the testimony of I that's I period Jean
6	Hillis. It consists of 14 pages.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked for iden-
8	tification as Bureau Exhibit 3.
9	(Whereupon, the document re-
10	ferred to as Mass Media Bureau
11	Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
12	identification.)
13	JUDGE STIRMER: I'll note for the record that
14	Bureau Exhibit and Mass Media Bureau Exhibit are one
15	and the same.
16	MR. SHOOK: The Bureau offers as Exhibit
17	No. 4 the testimony of Dariel L. Denton, Jr. It is 12
18	pages in length. Your Honor, we are aware that we may
19	have misspelled Mr. Denton's first name, and that's
20	something I believe we can get straightened out in
21	Poplar Bluff. We have it spelled here D A R I E L and
22	I have reason to believe, from looking at something
23	that he submitted to us, that it's supposed to D A I R
24	E L.
25	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. Mr. Denton's

1	testimony will be marked for identification as Bureau
2	Exhibit 4.
3	(Whereupon, the document re-
4	ferred to as Mass Media Bureau
5	Exhibit No. 4 was marked for
6	identification.)
7	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 5 is the testimony
8	of Sandra Durbin. It is 17 pages in length.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as your
10	Exhibit No. 5.
11	(Whereupon, the document
12	referred to as Mass Media
13	Bureau Exhibit No. 5 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	MR. SHOOK: Exhibit No. 6 is the testimony of
16	Clara Freeman. It is nine pages in length.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
18	Exhibit 6.
19	(Whereupon, the document
20	referred to as Mass Media
21	Bureau Exhibit No. 6 was
22	marked for identification.)
23	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 7 is the testimony
24	of Joanne Gray. It is 17 pages long.
25	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau

1	Exhibit 7.
2	(Whereupon, the document
3	referred to as Mass Media
4	Bureau Exhibit No. 7 was
5	marked for identification.)
6	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 8 is the testimony
7	of Edward R. Hodgins. It is six pages long.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
9	Exhibit 8.
10	(Whereupon, the document
11	referred to as Mass Media
12	Bureau Exhibit No. 8 was
13	marked for identification.)
14	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 9 is the testimony
15	of Michael O. Beckham. It is seven pages in length.
16	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as your
17	Exhibit No. 9.
18	(Whereupon, the document
19	referred to as Mass Media
20	Bureau Exhibit No. 9 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	MR. SHOOK: Exhibit No. 10 is the testimony
23	of Mary J. Wynn. It is 19 pages long.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
25	Exhibit 10.

1	(Whereupon, the document
2	referred to as Mass Media
3	Bureau Exhibit No. 10 was
4	marked for identification.)
5	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 11 is the testi-
6	mony of Craig Meador. It is three pages.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
8	Exhibit 11.
9	(Whereupon, the document
10	referred to as Mass Media
11	Bureau Exhibit No. 11 was
12	marked for identification.)
13	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 12 is portions of
14	the KOKS(FM) construction permit application, File No.
15	BPED-870302MS, and that is 12 pages.
16	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
17	Exhibit 12.
18	(Whereupon, the document
19	referred to as Mass Media
20	Bureau Exhibit No. 12 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 13 is the KOKS
23	license application, File No. BLED-880906KB. It's four
24	pages long.
25	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as Bureau
,	

1	Exhibit 13.
2	(Whereupon, the document
3	referred to as Mass Media
4	Bureau Exhibit No. 13 was
5	marked for identification.)
6	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 14 is an October
7	5, 1988, letter from J. Dunne to H. Walker Feaster.
8	It's one page.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as Bureau
10	Exhibit 14.
11	(Whereupon, the document
12	referred to as Mass Media
13	Bureau Exhibit No. 14 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 15 is a December
16	6, 1988, letter from J. Dunne to K. Raines, six pages
17	long.
18	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
19	Exhibit 15.
20	(Whereupon, the document
21	referred to as Mass Media
22	Bureau Exhibit No. 15 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 16 is the KOKS
25	license, which was granted January 4, 1989, and it is

1	four pages.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
3	Exhibit 16.
4	(Whereupon, the document
5	referred to as Mass Media
6	Bureau Exhibit No. 16 was
7	marked for identification.)
8	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 17 is a January
9	24, 1989, letter from J. Dunne to K. Raines. It has 94
10	pages.
11	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
12	Exhibit 17.
13	(Whereupon, the document
14	referred to as Mass Media
15	Bureau Exhibit No. 17 was
16	marked for identification.)
17	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 18 is a February
18	10, 1989, letter from J. Dunne to K. Raines of four
19	pages.
20	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Mass Media
21	Bureau Exhibit 18.
22	(Whereupon, the document
23	referred to as Mass Media
24	Bureau Exhibit No. 18 was
25	marked for identification.)

1	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 19 is a February
2	24, 1989, letter from J. Dunne to K. Raines. It has 79
3	pages.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
5	Exhibit 19.
6	(Whereupon, the document
7	referred to as Mass Media
8	Bureau Exhibit No. 19 was
9	marked for identification.)
10	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 20 is a March 29,
11	1989, letter from D. Williams to D. Stewart. It does
12	not include attachments and it is two pages long.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
14	Exhibit 20.
15	(Whereupon, the document
16	referred to as Mass Media
17	Bureau Exhibit No. 20 was
18	marked for identification.)
19	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 21 is portions of
20	a September 22, 1989, letter from J. Dunne to D.
21	Williams. It is 183 pages.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as Bureau
23	Exhibit No. 21.
24	(Whereupon, the document
25	referred to as Mass Media

1	Bureau Exhibit No. 21 was
2	marked for identification.)
3	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 22 is an October
4	13, 1989, letter from J. Dunne to D. Williams. It has
5	six pages.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
7	Exhibit No. 22.
8	(Whereupon, the document
9	referred to as Mass Media
10	Bureau Exhibit No. 22 was
11	marked for identification.)
12	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 23 is a January
13	30, 1989, letter from J. Dunne to D. Searcy. It has
14	four pages.
15	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as Bureau
16	Exhibit No. 23.
17	(Whereupon, the document
18	referred to as Mass Media
19	Bureau Exhibit No. 23 was
20	marked for identification.)
21	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 24 is an April 27,
22	1990, letter from L. Eads to D. Stewart, J. Hillis, and
23	D. Smith, three pages long.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as Bureau
25	Exhibit No. 24.

1	(Whereupon, the document
2	referred to as Mass Media
3	Bureau Exhibit No. 24 was
4	marked for identification.)
5	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 25 is an October
6	30, 1990, letter/order from L. Eads to KOKS. It has 57
7	pages.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as Bureau
9	Exhibit 25.
10	(Whereupon, the document
11	referred to as Mass Media
12	Bureau Exhibit No. 25 was
13	marked for identification.)
14	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 26 is a February
15	11, 1991, letter from N. Stewart to Federal
16	Communications Commission. It has 74 pages.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked as Bureau
18	Exhibit 26.
19	(Whereupon, the document
20	referred to as Mass Media
21	Bureau Exhibit No. 26 was
22	marked for identification.)
23	MR. SHOOK: Bureau Exhibit 27 is a February
24	25, 1991, letter from N. Stewart to Federal
25	Communications Commission. It has 90 pages.

1	JUDGE STIRMER: It'll be marked for iden-
2	tification as Bureau Exhibit No. 27.
3	(Whereupon, the document
4	referred to as Mass Media
5	Bureau Exhibit No. 27 was
6	marked for identification.)
7	MR. SHOOK: Our last exhibit, No. 28, is a
8	March 25, 1992, letter from J. Dunne to D. Searcy, six
9	pages long.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked for iden-
11	tification as Bureau Exhibit 28.
12	(Whereupon, the document
13	referred to as Mass Media
14	Bureau Exhibit No. 28 was
15	marked for identification.)
16	JUDGE STIRMER: That completes the iden-
17	tification of all your exhibits?
18	MR. SHOOK: Yes, sir.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. Would you now
20	like to offer these exhibits?
21	MR. SHOOK: We would, Your Honor.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Let's take them
23	one at a time.
24	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, that may not be
25	necessary. I don't have any objection.